

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/776,180	02/12/2004	Marc Beauregard	15493-2US	15493-2US 3080	
20988	7590 09/29/2006		EXAMINER		
OGILVY RENAULT LLP 1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE			LIU, SUE XU		
SUITE 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	, QC H3A2Y3		1639		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/776,180	BEAUREGARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sue Liu	1639				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7 and 10-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-17 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 7 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/16/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Status

Claims 4-6, 8, and 9 have been canceled as filed on 6/16/06;

Claims 1-3, 7, and 10-17 are currently pending;

Claims 11-17 have been withdrawn;

Claims 1-3, 7, and 10 are being examined in this application.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (Claims 1-10) in the reply filed on 10/27/2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 11-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/27/05.

#### **Priority**

3. This application claims priority to provisional application 60/446,518 filed on 2/12/2003.

# Declaration

4. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 6/16/06 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-10 based upon the lack of written description and scope of enablement of the

Application/Control Number: 10/776,180 Page 3

Art Unit: 1639

disclosure under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The rejections of Claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (both Written Description and Scope of Enablement) are withdrawn as indicated below.

# Claim Rejections Withdrawn

- 5. In light of applicants' amendments to the claims and supporting arguments, the following claim rejections as set forth in the previous office action are withdrawn:
- A.) Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for 1-propanol used in PCR reaction with certain thermo polymerases (Vent<sub>r</sub><sup>®</sup> or Taq) and DNA template (MB-1 His gene) to generate mutations, does not reasonably provide enablement for all alcohols (such as ethanol, 2-aminoethanol, butanol, etc.), all polymerases as well as all DNA templates. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. (Scope of enablement rejection).
- B.) Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. (Written Description Rejection).
- C.) Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,180

Art Unit: 1639

### New Rejection Necessitated by Amendment

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-3, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 contains the trademark/trade names Taq polymerase and Ventr® polymerase. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade names are used to identify/describe certain types of thermal polymerases and, accordingly, the identification/description are indefinite.

This rejection is necessitated by applicant's amendments to the claims.

Art Unit: 1639

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue Liu whose telephone number is 571-272-5539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached at 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SL Art Unit 1639 8/10/2006

MARK SHIBUYA, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER